

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT TACOMA

9 CHARLES S LONGSHORE, KEITH L
10 CLOSSON,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.
13 STEPHEN SINCLAIR,

14 Defendant.

15 CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05593-RBL-DWC

16 ORDER

17 Plaintiffs Charles S. Longshore and Keith L. Closson, proceeding *pro se* and *in forma*
18 *pauperis*, filed this civil rights Complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. There are currently seven
19 Motions pending before the Court. Dkt. 6, 29, 49, 63, 71, 72, 76.¹ The Court has reviewed the
20 relevant record and directs Plaintiff Longshore to file an amended complaint on or before
21 January 10, 2018. The Court also: (1) denies Defendant's Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending
22 Resolution of the Severance Issue (Dkt. 63), and Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification and
23 Counsel (Dkt. 6), Motion for Order Granting Privileged Correspondence Status to Co-Plaintiffs
24 (Dkt. 29), Joint Motion to Appoint Expert in this Case (Dkt. 49), Motion for Pretrial Scheduling

1 ¹ Plaintiff Closson filed a Notice of Dismissal and Plaintiffs filed two requests for injunctive relief. Dkt. 4,
2 34, 70. These three motions have been addressed in a separately filed Report and Recommendation.

1 Order and Expedited Initial Disclosures and Request for Teleconference with Court (Dkt. 71),
2 and Motion for Order Directing Mediation to Settle Suit (Dkt. 76); and (2) grants Plaintiff
3 Longshore's Motion to Regenerate ECFs No. 43-62 as not Received or Served (Dkt. 72).

4 **I. Background**

5 On October 20, 2017, the Court directed the parties to show cause why this case should
6 not be severed. Dkt. 52. Plaintiff Closson filed a Notice of Dismissal on November 14, 2017.
7 Dkt. 70. The Court has considered Plaintiff Closson's Notice of Dismissal, and has
8 recommended the claims alleged by Plaintiff Closson be dismissed from this case without
9 prejudice.

10 **II. Order to Amend**

11 The operative Complaint in this case contains claims alleged by both Plaintiffs Closson
12 and Longshore. *See* Dkt. 17. As the Court has recommended Plaintiff Closson be dismissed from
13 this case, the Court directs Plaintiff Longshore to file an amended complaint containing only his
14 allegations of constitutional violations related to the use of shared electric razors by inmates
15 housed in restrictive housing units. The amended complaint shall not contain any allegations
16 related to Plaintiff Closson or any other inmate.

17 Plaintiff Longshore must file an amended complaint and within the amended complaint,
18 he must write a short, plain statement telling the Court: (1) the constitutional right Plaintiff
19 Longshore believes was violated; (2) the name of the person who violated the right; (3) exactly
20 what the individual did or failed to do; (4) how the action or inaction of the individual is
21 connected to the violation of Plaintiff Longshore's constitutional rights; and (5) what specific
22 injury Plaintiff Longshore suffered because of the individual's conduct. *See Rizzo v. Goode*, 423
23 U.S. 362, 371–72, 377 (1976).

1 Plaintiff Longshore shall present the amended complaint on the form provided by the
2 Court. The amended complaint must be legibly rewritten or retyped in its entirety, it should be an
3 original and not a copy, it should contain the same case number, and it may not incorporate any
4 part of the original complaint by reference. The amended complaint will act as a complete
5 substitute for the original Complaint, and not as a supplement.

6 If Plaintiff Longshore fails to file an amended complaint on or before January 10, 2018,
7 the undersigned will recommend dismissal of this action.

8 **III. Motion to Stay (Dkt. 63)**

9 Defendant requests the Court stay these proceedings until the Court has determined
10 whether this case should be severed. Dkt. 63. Plaintiff Closson has filed a Notice of Dismissal,
11 requesting to be dismissed from this action, effectively mooted the severance issue. *See* Dkt. 70.
12 As the Court has directed Plaintiff Longshore to file an amended complaint and as Plaintiff
13 Closson has requested dismissal from this action, the Court denies Defendant's Motion to Stay
14 Proceedings (Dkt. 63). However, because Plaintiff Longshore must file an amended complaint,
15 Defendant is not required to file an answer or other responsive pleading until thirty days after
16 Plaintiff Longshore files his amended complaint.

17 **IV. Motion to Regenerate ECFs (Dkt. 72)**

18 Plaintiff Longshore filed a Motion to Regenerate ECFs No. 43-62 as not Received or
19 Served. Dkt. 72. Plaintiff Longshore states he has been transferred to several facilities and has
20 not received Docket Entries 43-62. *Id.* After consideration of Plaintiff Longshore's Motion to
21 Regenerate ECFs, the Motion (Dkt. 72) is granted. The Clerk is directed to resend Docket Entries
22 43 – 62 to Plaintiff Longshore.

23
24

1 **V. Miscellaneous Motions (Dkt. 6, 29, 49, 71, 76)**

2 Plaintiffs have filed a Motion for Class Certification and Counsel, Motion for Order
3 Granting Privileged Correspondence Status to Co-Plaintiffs, Joint Motion to Appoint Experts in
4 this Case, Motion for Pretrial Scheduling Order, and Motion for Order Directing Mediation to
5 Settle Suit. Dkt. 6, 29, 49, 71, 76. These five Motions are related either to the multi-plaintiff
6 litigation or the claims raised in the Complaint. The Court has directed Plaintiff Longshore to file
7 an amended complaint. Further, Plaintiff Closson has filed a notice of voluntary dismissal. *See*
8 Dkt. 70. In the interest of fairness to all parties, the Court finds it appropriate to consider only
9 motions related to Plaintiff Longshore's claims. As Plaintiff Longshore must file an amended
10 complaint, these five Motions (Dkt. 6, 29, 49, 71, 76) are denied. Plaintiff Longshore may file
11 renewed motions after filing his amended complaint.²

12 **VI. Conclusion**

13 For the above stated reasons, the Court directs Plaintiff Longshore to file an amended
14 complaint on or before January 10, 2018.

15 Defendant's Motion to Stay Proceedings (Dkt. 63) is denied. However, Defendant is not
16 required to file an answer or other responsive pleading until thirty days after Plaintiff Longshore
17 files his amended complaint.

18 Plaintiff Longshore's Motion to Regenerate ECFs (Dkt. 72) is granted.

19 Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification and Counsel (Dkt. 6), Motion for Order
20 Granting Privileged Correspondence Status to Co-Plaintiffs (Dkt. 29), Joint Motion to Appoint
21 Experts in this Case (Dkt. 49), Motion for Pretrial Scheduling Order (Dkt. 71), and Motion for

22

23 ² The Court also notes Plaintiff Longshore's request for a scheduling order (Dkt. 71) is premature. The
24 Court will not file a scheduling order in this case until Defendant files an answer.

1 Order Directing Mediation to Settle Suit (Dkt. 76) are denied. Plaintiff Longshore, however, may
2 file renewed motions after filing his amended complaint.

3 The Clerk is directed to resend Docket Entries 43 – 62 to Plaintiff Longshore. The Clerk
4 is also directed to send Plaintiff Longshore the appropriate forms for filing a 42 U.S.C. § 1983
5 civil rights complaint.

6 Dated this 11th day of December, 2017.

7 
8 David W. Christel
9 United States Magistrate Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24